

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,441	03/26/2004	Scott Michael Davis	147161-2	1481
23413 7590 99/09/2008 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 20 Church Street			EXAMINER	
			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS	
22nd Floor Hartford, CT (06103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
manoro, er e	.0105		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,441	DAVIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Janelle Morillo	1793		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: /Roy King/ /.L.M./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the instant claims remain rejected as stated in the final Rejection mailed 2l6/2008. Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because FR'246 does not teach thermoforming of a reinforced substrate has not been found persuasive. FR'246 at abstract, see in particular title, teaches a process for thermoforming and bonding reinforcement to panel surfaces. Additionally, Johnson teaches further motivation to thermoform a reinforced substrate (see Final rejection for details).

Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because the prior at of Mitten teaches injection motifing of the layers to promote achiesion has not been found persuasive. Mitten, drawn to forming multilayered fill first stucture with tie layers in-between other layers to promote adhesion, teaches at [0035] a variety of methods can be used to produce the 3-D plastic article, including thermoforming, nijection motifing, blow motifing, etc. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the process of thermoforming as taught by FRV246 with the layers as taught by Mitten, because Mitten teaches a very of methods can be used to produce 3-D multilayered film structures, including thermoforming, and because Mitten teaches in said multilayered structure promote adhesion.

Applicant's argument that the present invention is allowable over the prior art of record because there is no motivation to combine FR246 and Reafter has not been found persuasive. As set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 24/20008, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form additional film layers & its layers, as taught by Reafter, for the thermoforming process taught by FR246, because Reafter teaches said layers are selected to improve adhesion and bonding (abstract).